The GEp-**2**γ Experiment at Jefferson Lab Hall-C

12th International Conference on Meson-Nucleon Physics and the Structure of the Nucleon June 2nd, 2010

> Mehdi MEZIANE, The College of William and Mary On behalf of the Jlab GEp-2γ Collaboration







***Introduction**

Beyond the Born Approximation

The GEp-2γ Experiment at Jlab:

Goal
Analysis
Form Factor Ratio, P₁ preliminary results

Conclusion



Polarization/Rosenbltuth data crisis

- Over the past decade both intensive theoretical and experimental effort have been done aiming at explaining the Rosenbluth/Polarization discrepancy.
- The difference between the two experimental ratios increases systematically with Q² for Q²> 2 GeV²
- Two methods, two different results
 - Incomplete radiative corrections?
 - Something beyond the Born Approximation? (one photon exchange)
 - Possible Two-photon exchange effect? (TPEX)
- This experiment is a search for a kinematical dependence in G_{Ep}/G_{Mp} vs ϵ



Jones et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 1398 (2000); Gayou et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 092301 (2002); Punjabi et al., Phys. Rev. C 71, 055202 (2005); Puckett et al., arXiv:1005.3419 (2010);





(P.A.M Guichon, M. Vanderhaeghen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 142303 (2003))

$$\Gamma^{\mu}(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p'}) = \widetilde{\mathbf{G}}_{\mathbf{M}}\gamma^{\mu} - \widetilde{\mathbf{F}}_{2}\frac{\mathbf{P}^{\mu}}{\mathbf{M}} + \widetilde{\mathbf{F}}_{3}\frac{\gamma \cdot \mathbf{K}\mathbf{P}^{\mu}}{\mathbf{M}^{2}}$$







(P.A.M Guichon, M. Vanderhaeghen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 142303 (2003))

$$\Gamma^{\mu}(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p'}) = \widetilde{G}_{M}\gamma^{\mu} - \widetilde{F}_{2}\frac{\mathbf{P}^{\mu}}{\mathbf{M}} + \widetilde{F}_{3}\frac{\gamma \cdot \mathbf{K}\mathbf{P}^{\mu}}{\mathbf{M}^{2}}$$







(P.A.M Guichon, M. Vanderhaeghen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 142303 (2003))

$$\Gamma^{\mu}(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p'}) = \widetilde{G}_{M}\gamma^{\mu} - \widetilde{F}_{2}\frac{\mathsf{P}^{\mu}}{\mathsf{M}} + \widetilde{\mathsf{F}}_{3}\frac{\gamma \cdot \mathsf{K}\mathsf{P}^{\mu}}{\mathsf{M}^{2}}$$

Born Approx.Beyond Born Approx.
$$G_M(Q^2), F_2(Q^2)$$
 $\widetilde{G}_M(Q^2, \varepsilon), \widetilde{F}_2(Q^2, \varepsilon)$ $\widetilde{F}_3(Q^2, \varepsilon) = 0$ $\widetilde{F}_3(Q^2, \varepsilon)$ realcomplex



The kinematical parameter
$$\varepsilon$$
 is: $\varepsilon = \frac{(s - u)^2 + t(4M^2 - t)}{(s - u)^2 - t(4M^2 - t)}$





(P.A.M Guichon, M. Vanderhaeghen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 142303 (2003))

$$\Gamma^{\mu}(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p'}) = \widetilde{G}_{M}\gamma^{\mu} - \widetilde{F}_{2}\frac{\mathsf{P}^{\mu}}{\mathsf{M}} + \widetilde{\mathsf{F}}_{3}\frac{\gamma \cdot \mathsf{K}\mathsf{P}^{\mu}}{\mathsf{M}^{2}}$$

Born Approx.Beyond Born Approx.
$$G_{M}(Q^{2}), F_{2}(Q^{2})$$
 $\widetilde{G}_{M}(Q^{2}, \varepsilon), \widetilde{F}_{2}(Q^{2}, \varepsilon)$ $\widetilde{F}_{3}(Q^{2}, \varepsilon) = 0$ $\widetilde{G}_{M}(Q^{2}, \varepsilon), \widetilde{F}_{2}(Q^{2}, \varepsilon)$ $\widetilde{F}_{3}(Q^{2}, \varepsilon) = 0$ $\widetilde{F}_{3}(Q^{2}, \varepsilon)$ $complex$ $\widetilde{G}_{M}(Q^{2}, \varepsilon) = G_{M}(Q^{2}) + \delta \widetilde{G}_{M}(Q^{2}, \varepsilon)$ $\widetilde{G}_{E}(Q^{2}, \varepsilon) = G_{E}(Q^{2}) + \delta \widetilde{G}_{E}(Q^{2}, \varepsilon)$ The kinematical parameter ε is: $\varepsilon = \frac{(s - u)^{2} + t(4M^{2} - t)}{(s - u)^{2} - t(4M^{2} - t)}$





$$\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{t}} = -\sqrt{\frac{2\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)}{\tau}} \frac{1}{\sigma_{\mathsf{r}}} \{ \mathcal{G}_{\mathsf{E}} \mathcal{G}_{\mathsf{M}} \}$$

Transverse polarization.

$$P_{1} = \sqrt{1 - \varepsilon^{2}} \frac{1}{\sigma_{r}} \{ G_{M}^{2} \}$$
$$\sigma_{r} = G^{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\sigma_{r}} G^{2}$$

M

τ

Longitudinal polarization.

Reduced cross section.



Beyond the Born-Approximation formalism

$$P_{\tau} = -\sqrt{\frac{2\epsilon(1+\epsilon)}{\tau}} \frac{1}{\sigma_{r}} \{G_{E}G_{M} + G_{E}Re(\delta\tilde{G}_{M}) + G_{M}Re(\delta\tilde{G}_{E} + \frac{\upsilon}{M^{2}}\tilde{F}_{3})\} + O(e^{4})$$

$$P_{I} = \sqrt{1-\epsilon^{2}} \frac{1}{\sigma_{r}} \{G_{M}^{2} + 2G_{M}Re(\delta\tilde{G}_{M} + \frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}\frac{\upsilon}{M^{2}}\tilde{F}_{3})\} + O(e^{4})$$

$$\sigma_{r} = G_{M}^{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{\tau}G_{E}^{2} + 2G_{M}Re(\delta\tilde{G}_{M} + \epsilon\frac{\upsilon}{M^{2}}\tilde{F}_{3})$$

$$+ 2\frac{\epsilon}{\tau}G_{E}Re(\delta\tilde{G}_{E} + \frac{\upsilon}{M^{2}}\tilde{F}_{3}) + O(e^{4})$$
Born Approx.
Beyond Born Approx.

Beyond the Born-Approximation formalism

$$P_{+} = -\sqrt{\frac{2\epsilon(1+\epsilon)}{\tau}} \frac{1}{\sigma_{r}} \{G_{E}G_{M} + G_{E}Re(\delta\tilde{G}_{M}) + G_{M}Re(\delta\tilde{G}_{E} + \frac{\upsilon}{M^{2}}\tilde{F}_{3})\} + O(e^{4})}{P_{1} = \sqrt{1-\epsilon^{2}}} \frac{1}{\sigma_{r}} \{G_{M}^{2} + 2G_{M}Re(\delta\tilde{G}_{M} + \frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}\frac{\upsilon}{M^{2}}\tilde{F}_{3})\} + O(e^{4})}{\sigma_{r} = G_{M}^{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{\tau}G_{E}^{2}} + 2G_{M}Re(\delta\tilde{G}_{M} + \epsilon\frac{\upsilon}{M^{2}}\tilde{F}_{3}) + O(e^{4})}{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\tau}G_{E}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\tau}G_{E}^{2}} + 2G_{M}Re(\delta\tilde{G}_{L} + \epsilon\frac{\upsilon}{M^{2}}\tilde{F}_{3}) + O(e^{4})}{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\tau}G_{E}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\tau}G_{E}^{2}} + \frac{2\epsilon}{\tau}G_{E}Re(\delta\tilde{G}_{E} + \frac{\upsilon}{M^{2}}\tilde{F}_{3}) + O(e^{4})}$$
Normal polarization:
directly proportional to 2
or multi-) photon exchange
Born Approx.}
$$P_{n} = \sqrt{\frac{2\epsilon(1+\epsilon)}{\tau}} \frac{1}{\sigma_{r}} \{-G_{M}Im(\delta\tilde{G}_{E} + \frac{\upsilon}{M^{2}}\tilde{F}_{3}) + O(e^{4})}$$
Beyond Born Approx.



Theoretical Estimates





Hadronic (elastic) : keep the nucleon intermediate state + higher resonances, dominated by correction to G_{M.}

P.Blunden et al., Phys.Rev.C72: 034612 (2005)



GPD: hard lepton-quark scattering, quarks are embedded in the nucleon through the GPDs. Dominated by F_3 correction and

correction to G_{E.}

A.Afanasev et al., Phys. Rev.D72:013008 (2005)



Both theories describe Rosenbluth data but have opposite prediction for $G_{Ep}/G_{Mp.}$





•We look for a kinematical dependence of G_{Ep}/G_{Mp} to detect a possible two-photon exchange effect in the ep-scattering.

Key idea:

- fixed Q².
- same spin transport.
- (spin precession fixed)same analyzing power.
 - $(P_p fixed)$
 - precision limited only by statistics (~ 0.01 for a ratio value of 0.7)
- unlike Rosenbluth, very small p.t.p systematics: Ay , h cancel out in the P_t/P_1 ratio.



E _e , GeV	p _p	E _e '	θ _p , deg	θ _e	ε range	<q2></q2>
1.867	2.068	0.527	14.13	106	.130160	2.49
2.839	2.068	1.507	30.76	45.3	.611647	2.49
3.549	2.068	2.207	35.39	32.9	.765786	2.49





•We look for a kinematical dependence of G_{Ep}/G_{Mp} to detect a possible two-photon exchange effect in the ep-scattering.

Key idea:

- fixed Q².
- same spin transport.
 (spin precession fixed)
- same analyzing power.
 - $(P_p fixed)$
- precision limited only by statistics (~ 0.01 for a ratio value of 0.7)
- unlike Rosenbluth, very small p.t.p systematics: Ay , h cancel out in the P_t/P_1 ratio.



E _e , GeV	p _p	E _e '	θ _p , deg	θ _e	ε range	<q2></q2>
1.867	2.068	0.527	14.13	106	.130160	2.49
2.839	2.068	1.507	30.76	45.3	.611647	2.49
3.549	2.068	2.207	35.39	32.9	.765786	2.49





•We look for a kinematical dependence of G_{Ep}/G_{Mp} to detect a possible two-photon exchange effect in the ep-scattering.

Key idea:

- fixed Q².
- same spin transport.
- (spin precession fixed)same analyzing power.
 - $(P_p fixed)$
 - precision limited only by statistics (~ 0.01 for a ratio value of 0.7)
- unlike Rosenbluth, very small p.t.p systematics: Ay , h cancel out in the P_t/P_1 ratio.



E _e , GeV	p _p	E _e '	θ _p , deg	θ _e	ε range	<q2></q2>
1.867	2.068	0.527	14.13	106	.130160	2.49
2.839	2.068	1.507	30.76	45.3	.611647	2.49
3.549	2.068	2.207	35.39	32.9	.765786	2.49





•We look for a kinematical dependence of G_{Ep}/G_{Mp} to detect a possible two-photon exchange effect in the ep-scattering.

Key idea:

- fixed Q².
- same spin transport.
- (spin precession fixed)same analyzing power.
 - $(P_p fixed)$
- precision limited only by statistics (~ 0.01 for a ratio value of 0.7)
- unlike Rosenbluth, very small p.t.p systematics: Ay , h cancel out in the P_t/P_1 ratio.



E _e , GeV	p _p	E _e '	θ _p , deg	θ _e	ε range	<q2></q2>
1.867	2.068	0.527	14.13	106	.130160	2.49
2.839	2.068	1.507	30.76	45.3	.611647	2.49
3.549	2.068	2.207	35.39	32.9	.765786	2.49



HMS with Focal Plane Polarimeter



• Two HMS drift chambers for tracking--measure proton momentum and define incident trajectory for FPP.

• Scintillator hodoscopes So and S1 for trigger and timing.

- Focal Plane Polarimeter
 - Two CH₂ analyzers, 55 cm thick
 - Two sets of drift chambers track protons scattered in analyzer.





BigCal Calorimeter







(close-up of the front of BigCal)

- 1744 channels electromagnetic calorimeter
- Measure electron angles and energy
- Separate elastic from inelastic using angular correlation
- From $\frac{6.8\%}{\sqrt{E}}$ to $\frac{23\%}{\sqrt{E}}$ energy resolution (E in GeV) due to radiation damage
- Position resolution not very sensitive to radiation damage ~5 mm

PHYSICAL ASYMMETRIES

Physical asymmetries (helicity dependent) are obtained by taking the difference between the angular distributions of events of the two helicity states: $f^+(\theta, \phi)-f^-(\theta, \phi)$

Focal plane asymmetry can be written as a sine function with a phase shift which is related to the ratio of the polarization components at the focal plane.

With the FPP, we measure the proton polarization after undergoing precession through the HMS magnets.

$$\begin{array}{c} 0.15 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.05 \\ -0.05 \\ -0.05 \\ -0.1 \\ -0.15 \\ 0 \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} Q^2 = 2.5 \ GeV^2 \\ \varepsilon = 0.149 \\ -0.05 \\ -0.1 \\ -0.15 \\ 0 \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} FPP2 \\ \Delta \phi \\ FPP1 \\ -0.05 \\ -0.1 \\ -0.15 \\ 0 \\ -0.05 \\ -0.1 \\ -0.15 \\ 0 \\ -0.05 \\ -0.1 \\ -0.15 \\ 0 \\ -0.05 \\ -0.1 \\ -0.15 \\ 0 \\ -0.05 \\ -0.1 \\ -0.15 \\ 0 \\ -0.05 \\ -0.1 \\ -0.15 \\ 0 \\ -0.05 \\ -0.1 \\ -0.15 \\ 0 \\ -0.05 \\ -0.1 \\ -0.15 \\ 0 \\ -0.05 \\ -0.1 \\ -0.15 \\ 0 \\ -0.05 \\ -0.1 \\ -0.15 \\ 0 \\ -0.05 \\ -0.1 \\ -0.15 \\ 0 \\ -0.05 \\ -0.1 \\ -0.15 \\ 0 \\ -0.05 \\ -0.1 \\ -0.15 \\ 0 \\ -0.05 \\ -0.1 \\ -0.15 \\ 0 \\ -0.05 \\ -0.1 \\ -0.15 \\ 0 \\ -0.05 \\ -0.1 \\ -0.15 \\ 0 \\ -0.05 \\ -0.15 \\ 0 \\ -0.05 \\ -0.15 \\ 0 \\ -0.05 \\ -0.15 \\ 0 \\ -0.05 \\ -0.15 \\ 0 \\ -0.05 \\ -0.15 \\ 0 \\ -0.05 \\ -0.15 \\ 0 \\ -0.05 \\ -0.15 \\ 0 \\ -0.05 \\ -0.15 \\ 0 \\ -0.05 \\ -0.15 \\ 0 \\ -0.05 \\ -0.15 \\ 0 \\ -0.05 \\ -0.15 \\ 0 \\ -0.05 \\ -0.15 \\ 0 \\ -0.05 \\ -0.15 \\ 0 \\ -0.05 \\ -0.15 \\ 0 \\ -0.15$$







Spin Precession Check



The F.F. ratio is independent of the reconstructed target variables and δ for both FPPs.

δ: relative momentum of the spectrometer with respect to the central momentum p_o=2.0676 GeV/c

$$R = \mu \frac{G_{Ep}}{G_{Mp}} = -\mu \frac{P_{t}}{P_{l}} \frac{(E_{e} + E_{e'})}{2M} \tan \frac{\theta_{e}}{2}$$

 θ_{tgt} (dispersive) and ϕ_{tgt} (nondispersive) are the angles at the target.

Good understanding of the spin precession calculation through the spectrometer magnets.

Good quality of the COSY Spin transport matrix.







• The angular distribution is given by:

$$N^{\pm}(\mathbf{p}, \boldsymbol{\Theta}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}) = N_0^{\pm} \frac{\varepsilon(\mathbf{p}, \boldsymbol{\Theta})}{2\pi} [1 + (c_1 \pm A_y P_y^{\text{fpp}}) \cos \varphi + (s_1 \mp A_y P_x^{\text{fpp}}) \sin \varphi + c_2 \cos(2\varphi) + s_2 \sin(2\varphi) + \dots]$$

- N_0^{\pm} Number of incident proton with \pm helicity state.
- $\epsilon(p, \theta)$ Fraction of proton with momentum p scattered with an angle θ .
- $A_{y}(\mathbf{p}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ Analyzing power of the $\vec{\mathbf{p}} + CH_{z}$ reaction.
- P_x^{fpp} , P_y^{fpp} Polarization components at the focal plane.
- c_1, s_1, \ldots Fourier coefficients of helicity independent instrumental asymmetries.
(sum of N⁺ and N⁻, cancelled in first order)
- Maximizing the Likelihood function: (S_{ij} COSY spin transport matrix elements)

$$L(P_{t}, P_{l}) = \prod_{i=1}^{N_{event}} [1 + h\epsilon_{i}A_{y}^{(i)}(S_{yt}^{(i)}P_{t} + S_{yl}^{(i)}P_{l})\cos\varphi_{i} - h\epsilon_{i}A_{y}^{(i)}(S_{xt}^{(i)}P_{t} + S_{xl}^{(i)}P_{l})\sin\varphi_{i} + \Lambda_{0}^{(i)}]$$





• The angular distribution is given by:

$$N^{\pm}(\mathbf{p}, \boldsymbol{\Theta}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}) = N_{0}^{\pm} \frac{\varepsilon(\mathbf{p}, \boldsymbol{\Theta})}{2\pi} [1 + (c_{1} \pm A_{y}P_{y}^{fpp})\cos\varphi + (s_{1} \mp A_{y}P_{x}^{fpp})\sin\varphi + c_{2}\cos(2\varphi) + s_{2}\sin(2\varphi) + \dots]$$

- N_0^{\pm} Number of incident proton with \pm helicity state.
- $\epsilon(p, \theta)$ Fraction of proton with momentum p scattered with an angle θ .
- $A_{y}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{\theta})$ Analyzing power of the $\mathbf{\vec{p}} + \mathbf{C}H_{z}$ reaction.
- P_x^{fpp} , P_y^{fpp} Polarization components at the focal plane.
- Maximizing the Likelihood function: (S_{ij} COSY spin transport matrix elements)

$$L(P_{t}, P_{l}) = \prod_{i=1}^{N_{event}} [1 + h\epsilon_{i}A_{y}^{(i)}(S_{yt}^{(i)}P_{t} + S_{yl}^{(i)}P_{l})\cos\varphi_{i} - h\epsilon_{i}A_{y}^{(i)}(S_{xt}^{(i)}P_{t} + S_{xl}^{(i)}P_{l})\sin\varphi_{i} + \Lambda_{0}^{(i)}]$$



 N_0^{\pm}



• The angular distribution is given by:

$$N^{\pm}(\mathbf{p}, \boldsymbol{\Theta}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}) = N_{0}^{\pm} \frac{\varepsilon(\mathbf{p}, \boldsymbol{\Theta})}{2\pi} [1 + (c_{1} \pm A_{y}P_{y}^{fpp})\cos\varphi + (s_{1} \mp A_{y}P_{x}^{fpp})\sin\varphi + c_{2}\cos(2\varphi) + s_{2}\sin(2\varphi) + \dots]$$

- Number of incident proton with ± helicity state.
- $\epsilon(p, \theta)$ Fraction of proton with momentum p scattered with an angle θ .
- $A_{y}(\mathbf{p}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ Analyzing power of the $\vec{\mathbf{p}} + CH_{z}$ reaction.
- P_x^{fpp} , P_y^{fpp} Polarization components at the focal plane.
- Maximizing the Likelihood function: (S_{ij} COSY spin transport matrix elements)

$$L(P_{t}, P_{l}) = \prod_{i=1}^{N_{event}} [1 + h\epsilon_{i}A_{y}^{(i)}(S_{yt}^{(i)}P_{t} + S_{yl}^{(i)}P_{l})\cos\varphi_{i} - h\epsilon_{i}A_{y}^{(i)}(S_{xt}^{(i)}P_{t} + S_{xl}^{(i)}P_{l})\sin\varphi_{i} + A_{0}^{(i)}]$$



 N_0^{\pm}

ε(р,θ)



• The angular distribution is given by:

$$N^{\pm}(\mathbf{p}, \boldsymbol{\Theta}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}) = N_{0}^{\pm} \frac{\varepsilon(\mathbf{p}, \boldsymbol{\Theta})}{2\pi} [1 + (c_{1} \pm \mathbf{A} P_{y}^{fpp}) \cos \boldsymbol{\varphi} + (s_{1} \mp \mathbf{A} P_{x}^{fpp}) \sin \boldsymbol{\varphi} + c_{2} \cos(2\boldsymbol{\varphi}) + s_{2} \sin(2\boldsymbol{\varphi}) + \dots]$$

- Number of incident proton with ± helicity state.
- Fraction of proton with momentum p scattered with an angle θ .

$$A_{y}(p, \theta)$$
 Analyzing power of the $\vec{p} + CH_{z}$ reaction.

- **P**^{fpp}_x, **P**^{fpp}_y **Polarization components at the focal plane.**
- Maximizing the Likelihood function: (S_{ij} COSY spin transport matrix elements)

$$L(P_{t}, P_{l}) = \prod_{i=1}^{N_{event}} [1 + h\epsilon_{i}A_{y}^{(i)}(S_{yt}^{(i)}P_{t} + S_{yl}^{(i)}P_{l})\cos\varphi_{i} - h\epsilon_{i}A_{y}^{(i)}(S_{xt}^{(i)}P_{t} + S_{xl}^{(i)}P_{l})\sin\varphi_{i} + \Lambda_{0}^{(i)}]$$



 N_0^{\pm}

ε(р,θ)

, **(р**, Ө)

 P_x^{fpp} , P_y^{fpp}



• The angular distribution is given by:

$$N^{\pm}(\mathbf{p}, \boldsymbol{\Theta}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}) = N_{0}^{\pm} \frac{\varepsilon(\mathbf{p}, \boldsymbol{\Theta})}{2\pi} [1 + (c_{1} \pm \mathbf{A} \mathbf{P}_{y}^{\mathsf{fpp}}) \cos \varphi + (s_{1} \mp \mathbf{A} \mathbf{P}_{x}^{\mathsf{fpp}}) \sin \varphi + c_{2} \cos(2\varphi) + s_{2} \sin(2\varphi) + \dots]$$

- Number of incident proton with ± helicity state.
- Fraction of proton with momentum p scattered with an angle θ .

Analyzing power of the
$$\vec{p}$$
 + CH_2 reaction.

- Polarization components at the focal plane.
- **c**₁, **s**₁,... Fourier coefficients of helicity independent instrumental asymmetries. (sum of N⁺ and N⁻, *cancelled in first order*)
- Maximizing the Likelihood function: (S_{ij} COSY spin transport matrix elements)

$$L(P_{t}, P_{l}) = \prod_{i=1}^{N_{event}} [1 + h\epsilon_{i}A_{y}^{(i)}(S_{yt}^{(i)}P_{t} + S_{yl}^{(i)}P_{l})\cos\varphi_{i} - h\epsilon_{i}A_{y}^{(i)}(S_{xt}^{(i)}P_{t} + S_{xl}^{(i)}P_{l})\sin\varphi_{i} + A_{0}^{(i)}]$$





• The angular distribution is given by:

$$N^{\pm}(\mathbf{p}, \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\phi}) = N_{0}^{\pm} \frac{\varepsilon(\mathbf{p}, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{2\pi} [1 + (\mathbf{c} \pm \mathbf{A} \mathbf{P}_{y}^{\mathsf{fpp}}) \cos \varphi + (\mathbf{s} \mp \mathbf{A} \mathbf{P}_{x}^{\mathsf{fpp}}) \sin \varphi + \mathbf{c}_{z}^{\mathsf{cos}}(2\varphi) + \mathbf{s}_{z}^{\mathsf{sin}}(2\varphi) + \dots]$$



- Number of incident proton with ± helicity state.
- Fraction of proton with momentum p scattered with an angle θ .
- Analyzing power of the \vec{p} + CH_2 reaction.
- Polarization components at the focal plane.

Fourier coefficients of helicity independent instrumental asymmetries. (sum of N⁺ and N⁻, *cancelled in first order*)

• Maximizing the Likelihood function: (S_{ij} COSY spin transport matrix elements)

$$L(P_{t}, P_{l}) = \prod_{i=1}^{N_{event}} [1 + h\epsilon_{i}A_{y}^{(i)}(S_{yt}^{(i)}P_{t} + S_{yl}^{(i)}P_{l})\cos\varphi_{i} - h\epsilon_{i}A_{y}^{(i)}(S_{xt}^{(i)}P_{t} + S_{xl}^{(i)}P_{l})\sin\varphi_{i} + A_{0}^{(i)}]$$





• The angular distribution is given by:

$$N^{\pm}(\mathbf{p}, \theta, \varphi) = N_{0}^{\pm} \frac{\varepsilon(\mathbf{p}, \theta)}{2\pi} [1 + (c_{1} \pm A_{y}P_{y}^{fpp})\cos\varphi + (s_{1} \mp A_{y}P_{x}^{fpp})\sin\varphi + c_{2}\cos(2\varphi) + s_{2}\sin(2\varphi) + \dots]$$



- Number of incident proton with ± helicity state.
- Fraction of proton with momentum p scattered with an angle θ .
- Analyzing power of the \vec{p} + CH_2 reaction.
- Polarization components at the focal plane.

Fourier coefficients of helicity independent instrumental asymmetries. (sum of N⁺ and N⁻, cancelled in first order) $A_0 = \sum c_i \cos \varphi + s_i \sin \varphi$

• Maximizing the Likelihood function: (S_{ij} COSY spin transport matrix elements)

$$L(P_{t}, P_{l}) = \prod_{i=1}^{N_{event}} [1 + h\epsilon_{i}A_{y}^{(i)}(S_{yt}^{(i)}P_{t} + S_{yl}^{(i)}P_{l})\cos\varphi_{i} - h\epsilon_{i}A_{y}^{(i)}(S_{xt}^{(i)}P_{t} + S_{xl}^{(i)}P_{l})\sin\varphi_{i} + A_{0}^{(i)}]$$







- Tight analyzer cuts
- Single track events selection.
- Correction for the energy loss in CH₂ applied.
- Transverse momentum:

 $P_{T}^{FPP} = P_{HMS}^{loss} sin\theta_{FPP}$

- Good stability of the analyzing power over the 3 kinematics.
- Even if A_y cancels in the form factor ratio, it is an important quantity for the extraction of P_l since we measure hA_yP_l.





Form Factor Ratio

- No evidence of an epsilon dependence at a 0.01 level for a ratio of 0.7 in the polarization data at Q² = 2.5 GeV².
- Models predict a bigger correction (opposite sign) at small ε, not seen in the data.
- Theoretical predictions are with respect to the Born approximation. (calculated from the fit to the polarization data)
- Validate the recoil polarization transfer technique to extract the proton form factor ratio
- Radiative corrections calculated with MASCARAD ~0.01-0.02% (Afanasev et.al, Phys. Rev. D 64, 113009 (2001))



P.Blunden et al., Phys.Rev.C72: 034612 (2005)

A.Afanasev et al., Phys.Rev.D72:013008 (2005)

N. Kivel and M. Vanderhaeghen Phys.Rev.Lett. 103:092004 (2009)

Bystritskiy, Kuraev and Tomasi-Gustafsson, Phys.Rev.C75: 015207 (2007)



• Matching acceptance cut : cut to match the original acceptance of the smallest ε point to cover the same spot for the 3 kinematics at the focal plane.

Same spin transport

- Normalized P_{ℓ}/P_{ℓ_Born} ratio to the smallest ε kinematic.
- Based on the assumption that A_v is kinematic independent.
- o.o5% systematic errors (Moller measurements)







• The form factor ratio is independent of the target variables.

• Good stability of the analyzing power over the 3 kinematics.

• Results statistically consistent with a constant for the longitudinal polarization observable.

• No evidence of an epsilon dependence at a 0.01 level for a form factor ratio of 0.7 at Q² of 2.5 GeV².

BACK-UP SLIDES







Analyzing Power



- Experimental result from the Dubna (Azhgirey et al.) data.
- Single track events and FPP1 only.



• Maximum of analyzing power follows the 1/p_{lab} trend of the Dubna and Gayou results but with a systematic shift.

└→ Track multiplicity???





Reconstructed Scatterings





Zclose z-coordinate of the point of closest approach between incident and scattered track

Cone-test exhibits the "razor blade" shape of the events in the z- θ plan





- Distance of closest approach (sclose), θ and φ distributions for protons scattered in the CH₂ analyzers.
- Peak at small angle due to Coulomb scattering.
- Typically 50% as many events undergo scattering in FPP2 as in FPP1.







Zclose dependence





- The analyzing power is not constant within the whole width of the analyzer.
- The form factor ratio is constant within the analyzer for the 3 kinematics.
- Dilution of the analyzing power from bad reconstructed events.



Focal Plane Polarimeter: FPP



- No particle ID capability-importance of coincidence electron detection to eliminate backgrounds.
- Two HMS drift chambers for tracking--measure proton momentum and define incident trajectory for FPP.
- Scintillator hodoscopes So and S1 for trigger and timing.
- Focal Plane Polarimeter
 - Two CH₂ analyzers, 55 cm thick
 - Two sets of drift chambers track protons scattered in analyzer.

